Contemporary Mathematics

arXiv:math/9906199v1 [math.FA] 28 Jun 1999

Hypercyclic Differentiation Operators

Richard Aron and Juan Bès

Abstract. A classical theorem due to G. D. Birkhoff states that there exists an entire function whose translates approximate any given entire function, as accurately as desired, over any ball of the complex plane. We show this result may be generalized to the space $H_{bc}(E)$ of entire functions of compact bounded type defined on a Banach space E with separable dual.

1. Introduction.

The Invariant Subspace Problem asks whether every bounded linear operator on an infinite dimensional Banach space admits a non-trivial, closed invariant subspace, that is, a closed linear manifold which is neither $\{0\}$ nor the whole space and which is mapped into itself by the operator. A related question was raised by M. Edelstein and H. Radjavi: Does every such operator have a non-trivial closed invariant *set*? ([**18**], p. 1)

P. Enflo created an operator on a (non-Hilbertian) Banach space without non-trivial closed subspaces, to show the answer is negative in general [**13**]. Later, C. Read constructed an operator on l_1 with the same property, and also an operator on a Banach space admitting no non-trivial closed invariant subsets ([**24**], [**25**]). The Invariant Subspace Problem remains open for the case in which the Banach space is a Hilbert space.

The notions of cyclic and hypercyclic vectors arise naturally in this study of invariant subspaces and subsets. Suppose T is a continuous linear operator on an $\mathcal{F}$-space (i.e., a complete, linear metric space) X. A vector $x_0 \in X$ is **cyclic** for T provided the linear span of the orbit

$$\mathrm{Orb}\{x_0, T\} = \{x_0, Tx_0, T^2x_0, \dots\}$$

is dense in X. If the orbit itself is dense, the operator T and associated vector x_0 are called **hypercyclic**. In this way, an operator T will lack non-trivial, closed invariant subspaces (subsets) if and only if *all* the non-zero vectors are cyclic (hypercyclic) for T.

One of the roots of the modern study of hypercyclicity comes from an intriguing observation of G.D. Birkhoff concerning the orbits of translation operators acting on the space of entire functions [**7**]. Let $H(\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of entire functions of one

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46G20, 47B99; Secondary: 30D05.

©0000 (copyright holder)

complex variable, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of the plane, and let $\tau_b : H(\mathbb{C}) \to H(\mathbb{C})$ be the operator of "translation by the complex number b" defined by

$$\tau_b(f)(z) := f(z+b) \qquad (f \in H(\mathbb{C}), z \in \mathbb{C})$$

Birkhoff's theorem asserts that if $b \neq 0$, then the operator τ_b is hypercyclic.

In other words, Birkhoff's theorem gives a "universal" entire function which, over any compact set, has translates that approximate any entire function as accurately as desired. In the language of dynamics, it states that each translation operator is *topologically transitive* on $H(\mathbb{C})$, and this is a major step in proving that these operators are actually *chaotic* in one of the commonly accepted senses (cf. [**15**], section 6).

Birkhoff's operator may be viewed in several different contexts. As a translation operator, W. Seidel and J. Walsh provided a non-Euclidean version of Birkhoff's theorem in the space of holomorphic functions on the unit disc, by replacing translation by certain conformal disc automorphisms [**27**].

It is essentially the only hypercyclic composition operator on $H(\mathbb{C})$. Given $\varphi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ fixed, the operator $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ on $H(\mathbb{C})$ is hypercyclic if and only if $\varphi(z) = z + b$ for some non-zero complex number b ([**5**], Corollary 2.3). However, in other spaces such as the Hardy space H^2 the situation is quite different. P. Bourdon and J. Shapiro have studied the cyclic and hypercyclic behaviour of composition operators in this space, obtaining complete results for linear fractional maps of the unit disc into itself, and using these maps as "models" to extend this classification to a large class of composition operators ([**9**], [**28**]).

Birkhoff's translation has also been regarded as a differentiation operator. That is, $\tau_b = e^{bD}$, so that for every $f \in H(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\tau_b(f) = \sum_{n=o}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!} D^n f \qquad \text{(convergence in } H(\mathbb{C})\text{)}.$$

From this perspective, G. R. MacLane showed in 1952 that the differentiation operator

$$f \mapsto Df$$

is also hypercyclic on $H(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, MacLane's theorem assures that there exists an entire function whose sequence of derivatives has *every* entire function as a limit point ([**21**], Theorem 6).

In 1991, a beautiful generalization of Birkhoff's and MacLane's theorems was provided by G. Godefroy and J. Shapiro ([**15**], Thm 5.1):

THEOREM 1.1. **(Godefroy-Shapiro)** *Let $\Phi(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ be a non-constant, entire function on $\mathbb{C}^N$ of exponential type. Then the operator*

$$f \overset{\Phi(D)}{\longmapsto} \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 0} c_\alpha D^\alpha f \qquad f \in H(\mathbb{C}^N)$$

is hypercyclic.

Moreover, they showed that a continuous linear operator T on $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ commutes with translations if and only if it commutes with the differentiation operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ $(1 \leq k \leq N)$, and if and only if it is of the form $T = \Phi(D)$, for some $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ of exponential type ([**15**], Prop. 5.2).

In the next section we will consider Theorem 1.1 for the case $N = 1$, and show how it may be generalized by replacing $H(\mathbb{C})$ by the space $H_{bc}(E)$ of complex valued, entire functions on E of compact type that are bounded on bounded subsets of E. In particular, an extension of Birkhoff's theorem to all such spaces will be obtained.

Our proof involves a technique that has become standard in this area, the so-called Hypercyclicity Criterion. In 1982, C. Kitai isolated conditions that ensure an operator to be hypercyclic ([**18**], Thm 1.4). This result was never published, and it was later rediscovered in a broader form by R. Gethner and J. Shapiro ([**14**],Thm 2.2), who used it to unify the previously mentioned theorems of Birkhoff, MacLane, and Seidel and Walsh, among others. It may be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1.2. **(Kitai - Gethner - Shapiro)** *Let X be an $\mathcal{F}$-space, and $T : X \to X$ be linear, continuous. Suppose there exist X_0, Y_0 dense subsets of X, a sequence (n_k) of positive integers, and a sequence of mappings (possibly nonlinear, possibly not continuous) $S_{n_k} : Y_0 \to X$ so that*

$$\begin{aligned} &i) \quad T^{n_k} \underset{k\to\infty}{\to} 0 \quad \textit{pointwise on } X_0. \\ &ii) \quad S_{n_k} \underset{k\to\infty}{\to} 0 \quad \textit{pointwise on } Y_0. \\ &iii) \; T^{n_k} S_{n_k} = \textit{Identity} \quad \textit{on } Y_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then T is hypercyclic.

Note. It is an open problem whether the above conditions are also necessary ([**19**], p 544):

(1.1) Does every hypercyclic operator satisfy the Hypercyclicity Criterion?

There are hypercyclic operators, though, that do not satisfy the Criterion for the *entire* sequence $(n_k) = (k)$ of positive integers. Solving a problem of D. Herrero, H. Salas constructed a weighted shift A so that both it and its Hilbert Adjoint A^* were hypercyclic, while their direct sum $A \oplus A^*$ was not. As a consequence, A and A^* could not simultaneously satisfy the Criterion for the sequence $(n_k) = (k)$. For a while, some people were misled into thinking that it was a counterexample to (1.1) (cf. [**17**], p97), but both A and A^* were later noticed in ([**20**], Section 2) to satisfy the Criterion (cf. also [**5**], Note 1.22).

If requiring only pointwise convergence in (iii) instead of equality, it is shown in [**6**] that question (1.1) is equivalent to the following one of D. Herrero ([**17**], p. 97):

Is $T \oplus T$ hypercyclic whenever T is?

(A direct sum $A \oplus B$ may fail to be hypercyclic even if each of A and B is ([**26**], Corollary 2.6)).

Interest in answering these questions comes also from the study of hypercyclic subspaces, that is, linear subspaces where all the non-zero vectors are hypercyclic. Although every hypercyclic operator admits a dense, invariant hypercyclic subspace ([**17**], [**8**], [**4**], [**2**]), they do not always admit a *closed* and infinite dimensional one. The situation is well understood for operators satisfying the Criterion. For instance,

an operator on a Banach space satisfying the Hypercyclicity Criterion will admit a closed, infinite dimensional hypercyclic subspace if and only if its essential spectrum intersects the closed unit disk ([**20**], Thm 2.1; cf also [**10**], [**22**], [**19**], [**3**]).

2. Birkhoff's theorem for the space $H_{bc}(E)$.

Given a Banach Space E, let $H_{bc}(E)$ be the Fréchet Algebra generated by the elements of the dual space E^*, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on balls of E. The space $H_{bc}(E)$ consists of entire functions $f : E \to \mathbb{C}$ of so-called compact type that are bounded on bounded subsets of E. That is, $H_{bc}(E)$ consists of all functions of the form

$$`f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} P_n \in \overline{\text{span}}\,\{\varphi^n : \ \varphi \in E^*\} & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \|P_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(\sup_{\|x\|\leq 1}|P_n(x)|\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} 0. \end{cases}$$

Parenthetically, we remark that the terminology *compact type* apparently comes from the fact that if E^* has the approximation property (see, e.g., [**23**], p. 195), then any compact linear mapping $E \to E^*$ is a uniform limit of finite rank mappings, which are of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j \psi_j$. The associated $2-$homogeneous polynomial, $x \in E \to \sum_{j=1}^{k} \phi_j(x)\psi_j(x)$ is of *finite type*, and limits of such finite type polynomials are called $2-$homogeneous polynomials of compact type. Of course, the situation generalizes to $n-$homogeneous polynomials. Also, the space $H_{bc}(E)$ is not as arcane as may first appear to the non-specialist. Indeed, if E^* has the approximation property, then $H_{bc}(E)$ has a useful alternative description, as the space of entire functions which are uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E, with respect to the weak topology ([**1**], [**12**]). Moreover if the Michael problem, which asks whether every $\mathbb{C}-$valued homomorphism on a complex Fréchet algebra is automatically continuous, has an affirmative solution for the Fréchet algebra $H_{bc}(c_0)$, then it has an affirmative solution for every Fréchet algebra ([**23**]).

Given $a \in E$ fixed and $\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{C})$ of exponential type, let $\Phi_a(D) : H_{bc}(E) \to H_{bc}(E)$ be defined as

$$\Phi_a(D)(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \widehat{d^n f(.)} a\,.$$

That is,

$$\Phi_a(D)(f)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \widehat{d^n f(x)}(a) \quad \text{for all} \ \ x \in E\,,$$

where $\widehat{d^n f(x)}$ is the n-homogeneous polynomial associated with the n^{th}-Fréchet derivative of f at the point $x \in E$. The operator $\Phi_a(D)$ is continuous on $H_{bc}(E)$, and when $\Phi(z) = e^z$ the induced operator is "translation by a" ([**16**], Lemma 8). i.e.,

$$\tau_a(f)(x) = f(x+a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \widehat{d^n f(x)}(a) = \Phi_a(D)(f)(x) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x \in E$ and all $f \in H_{bc}(E)$. We are ready now to state the main result:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let E be a Banach space with separable dual E^*, and $0 \neq a \in E$. Let $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{C})$ be non-constant, of exponential type. Then the operator*

$$\Phi_a(D) : H_{bc}(E) \longrightarrow H_{bc}(E)$$
$$f \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \widehat{d^n f(.)a}$$

is hypercyclic.

Note. If E^* fails to be separable, so does $H_{bc}(E)$, and this prevents $\Phi_a(D)$ from being hypercyclic. Thus, from now on we assume E^* to be separable.

In particular, Birkhoff's theorem for the spaces $H_{bc}(E)$ follows:

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let E be a Banach space with separable dual E^*, and $0 \neq a \in E$. Then the operator "translation by 'a' "*

$$\tau_a(f)(x) = f(x+a) \qquad (x \in E)$$

is hypercyclic on $H_{bc}(E)$.

PROOF. It follows immediately from (2.1) and Theorem 2.1. □

We will make use of the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. *$\mathcal{B} = \{\ e^{\varphi} :\ \varphi \in E^*\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $H_{bc}(E)$.*

PROOF. Let $\{e^{\varphi_i}\}_{i \in I}$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of $\mathcal{B}$. Fix $\varphi \in E^*$, and assume there exist non-zero constants $c_{i_1}, \ldots, c_{i_r} \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$c_{i_1} e^{\varphi_{i_1}} + \cdots + c_{i_r} e^{\varphi_{i_r}} = e^{\varphi} \tag{2.2}$$

Let $a \in E$ be arbitrary. Applying the operator $f \mapsto df(.)a$ in (2.2), it follows that

$$c_{i_1} \varphi_{i_1}(a) e^{\varphi_{i_1}} + \cdots + c_{i_r} \varphi_{i_r}(a) e^{\varphi_{i_r}} = \varphi(a) e^{\varphi}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $\{e^{\varphi_i}\}_{i \in I}$ is linearly independent and $c_{i_1}, \ldots, c_{i_r}$ are non-zero, by (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\varphi_{i_1}(a) = \cdots = \varphi_{i_r}(a) = \varphi(a).$$

Since $a \in E$ is arbitrary,

$$\varphi_{i_1} = \cdots = \varphi_{i_r} = \varphi.$$

Hence, $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I} = E^*$, and Lemma 2.3 follows. □

Our next lemma generalizes a result of Gupta, which was needed in [**16**] to obtain information about the range and kernel of convolution operators.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let U be a non-empty open subset of E^*. Then*

$$S = \mathit{span}\{e^{\varphi} :\ \varphi \in U\}$$

is dense in $H_{bc}(E)$.

PROOF. Given $\varphi_0 \in E^*$, the map

$$H_{bc}(E) \underset{g \mapsto e^{\varphi_0} g}{\longrightarrow} H_{bc}(E)$$

is a homeomorphism. So

$$\overline{\text{span}}\left\{e^{\varphi_0+\varphi} : \ \varphi \in U\right\} = H_{bc}(E) \Leftrightarrow \overline{\text{span}}\left\{e^{\varphi} : \ \varphi \in U\right\} = H_{bc}(E).$$

Hence, we may assume that $0 \in U$. Reducing U if necessary, we may also assume that for some $\delta > 0$,

$$U = \{\varphi \in E^* \ : \ \|\varphi\| < \delta\}.$$

In particular, $1 \in \overline{S}$, for $0 \in U$. It will then suffice to show the following:

Claim: *For every $\varphi \in U$ and $n \geq 1$, $\varphi^n \in \overline{S}$.*

To prove the claim, let $\varphi \in U$ and suppose the claim is true for $n \leq k-1$. Then for each $0 < t < 1$ we have

$$g_t = \frac{e^{t\varphi} - 1 - t\varphi - \frac{[t\varphi]^2}{2!} - \cdots - \frac{[t\varphi]^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}}{t^k} \in \overline{S},$$

since $t\varphi \in U$. So given $x \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(g_t - \frac{\varphi^k}{k!}\right)(x)\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{t^k}\left[e^{t\varphi} - 1 - t\varphi - \frac{[t\varphi]^2}{2!} - \cdots - \frac{[t\varphi]^k}{k!}\right](x)\right| \\
&\leq \left|\frac{1}{t^k}\sum_{n\geq k+1}\frac{[t\varphi]^n}{n!}(x)\right| \\
&\leq t\sum_{n\geq k+1} t^{n-k-1}\frac{|\varphi(x)|^n}{n!} \\
&\leq t\ e^{\delta\|x\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $g_t \underset{t\to 0}{\to} \frac{\varphi^k}{k!}$ in $H_{bc}(E)$, and $\frac{\varphi^k}{k!} \in \overline{S}$. So the claim holds. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.6. Consider the function $g : E^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$g(\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\varphi),$$

where each $P_n : E^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the n-homogeneous polynomial defined by

$$P_n(\varphi) = c_n\varphi^n(a) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Now, since Φ is of exponential type, there exists $R > 0$ so that

$$|c_n| \leq \frac{R^n}{n!} \qquad (n \geq 1).$$

Given $\varphi \in E^*$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$|P_n(\varphi)| = |c_n|\,|\varphi^n(a)| \leq \frac{R^n}{n!}\|\varphi\|^n\|a\|^n \leq \left(\frac{e\,\|a\|R}{n}\right)^n,$$

and so

$$\|P_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Thus, $g : E^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is entire (moreover, it is of bounded type), and non-constant, since Φ is non-constant. So the sets

$$U := \left\{ \varphi \in E^* : \ |\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi^n(a)| = |g(\varphi)| < 1 \right\}$$

$$V := \left\{ \varphi \in E^* : \ |\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi^n(a)| = |g(\varphi)| > 1 \right\}$$

are both open, non-empty. Hence, according to Lemma 2.4,

$$X_0 := \text{span}\,\{e^{\varphi} : \ \varphi \in U\} \tag{2.4}$$

$$Y_0 := \text{span}\,\{e^{\varphi} : \ \varphi \in V\} \tag{2.5}$$

are both dense subspaces of $H_{bc}(E)$. Next, notice that if $T = \Phi_a(D)$, given $\varphi \in E^*$

$$\begin{aligned} T(e^{\varphi}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \widehat{d^n(e^{\varphi})} a \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi^n(a) \ e^{\varphi} \\ &= g(\varphi) e^{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4),

$$T^n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \ \text{ pointwise on } \ X_0.$$

Also, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a (possibly discontinuous) linear map $S : Y_0 \longrightarrow Y_0$ determined by

$$S(e^{\varphi}) = [g(\varphi)]^{-1} \ e^{\varphi} \qquad (\varphi \in E^*) \tag{2.6}$$

which by (2.5) and (2.6) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} S^n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \ \text{ pointwise on } \ Y_0. \\ TS = id_{Y_0} \qquad \text{on } Y_0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 1.2, $T = \Phi_a(D)$ is hypercyclic. □

Remarks:

i) For $E = \mathbb{C}$ and $a = 1$, Theorem 2.1 yields Theorem 1.1 (for the case $N = 1$), since $H_{bc}(\mathbb{C}) = H(\mathbb{C})$, and given any $\Phi \in H(\mathbb{C})$ of exponential type, $\Phi(D) = \Phi_1(D)$.

ii) Using similar arguments, one may obtain the following two theorems (cf [**5**], Chapter 2):

THEOREM 2.5. *Let E be a Banach space with separable dual E^*. Let $\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r\}$ be a linearly independent subset of E, and let $\Phi^1, \ldots, \Phi^r$ in $H(\mathbb{C})$ be non-constant, of exponential type. Then*

$$A = \Phi^1_{b_1}(D) + \Phi^2_{b_2}(D) + \cdots + \Phi^r_{b_r}(D)$$

is hypercyclic on $H_{bc}(E)$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let E be a Banach space with separable dual E^*, and let $\{b_i\}_{i\geq 0} \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ be bounded. Then the operator*

$$A : H_{bc}(E) \longrightarrow H_{bc}(E)$$
$$f \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \widehat{d^n f(.)} b_n$$

is hypercyclic, where $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in H(\mathbb{C})$ is entire, non-constant and of exponential type.

References

[1] R. M. Aron, *Weakly uniformly and weakly sequentially continuous entire functions,* in *Adv. in Holomorphy* (J. A. Barroso, ed.), North-Holland (1979), 47 - 65.

[2] B. Beauzamy, *An operator in a separable Hilbert space with many hypercyclic vectors,* Studia Math. **87** (1987), 71-78.

[3] L. Bernal-González and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Non-finite dimensional closed vector spaces of universal functions for composition operators* J. Approx. Theory **82**, (1995), 375-391.

[4] J. Bès, *Invariant manifolds of hypercyclic vectors for the real scalar case,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999) pp1801-1804.

[5] J. Bès, *Three problems on hypercyclic operators,* Thesis, Kent State Univ., (1998).

[6] J. Bès and A. Peris-Manguillot, *Hereditarily hypercyclic operators,* preprint.

[7] G. D. Birkhoff, *Démonstration d'un théoreme élémentaire sur les fonctions entières,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **189** (1929), 473-475.

[8] P. Bourdon, *Invariant Manifolds of Hypercyclic Vectors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** No. 3 (1993), 845-847.

[9] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, *Cyclic phenomena for composition operators,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997).

[10] K. C. Chan, *Hypercyclicity of the Operator Algebra for a separable Hilbert space,* preprint.

[11] R. L. Devaney, *"An introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems"*, 2^{nd} ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA. 1989.

[12] S. Dineen, *Entire functions on c_0*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** no. 2, (1983) 205–218.

[13] P. Enflo, *On the invariant subspace problem for Banach spaces,* Acta Math. **158** (1987), 213-313.

[14] R. M. Gethner and J. H. Shapiro, *Universal vectors for operators on spaces of holomorphic functions,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100**, No. 2 (1987), 281-288.

[15] G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro, *Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds,* J. Funct. Anal. **98** (1991), 229-269.

[16] C. P. Gupta, *Malgrange Theorem for Nuclearly Entire Functions of Bounded Type on a Banach Space*, Thesis, University of Rochester (1966).

[17] D. A. Herrero, *Hypercyclic Operators and Chaos,* Journal of Op. Theory **28** (1992), 93-103.

[18] C. Kitai, *Invariant Closed Sets for Linear Operators,* Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Toronto, 1982.

[19] F. León-Saavedra and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Linear structure of hypercyclic vectors* J. Funct. Anal. **148** No. 2 (1997), 524-545.

[20] F. León-Saavedra and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Spectral Theory and Hypercyclic Subspaces,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[21] G. R. MacLane, *Sequences of derivatives and normal families,* J. Analyse Math. **2** (1952), 72-87.

[22] A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Banach spaces of hypercyclic vectors,* Michigan Math. J. **43** No. 3 (1996), 419-436.

[23] J. Mujica, *"Complex analysis in Banach spaces,"* Math. Studies, North-Holland, **120** (1986).

[24] C. Read, *A solution to the invariant subspace problem on the space l_1,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **17** (1985), 305-317.

[25] C. Read, *The invariant subspace problem for a Class of Banach spaces 2: Hypercyclic Operators*, Israel J. Math. **63**, No. 1, 1998.

[26] H. Salas, *Hypercyclic weighted shifts,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**, No. 3 (1995), 993-1004.

[27] W. P. Seidel and J. L. Walsh, *On Approximation by Euclidean and non-Euclidean translates of an Analytic Function,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1941), 916-920.
[28] J. H. Shapiro, *"Composition Operators and classical function theory",* Springer-Verlag (1993).

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242. U.S.A.
E-mail address: aron@mcs.kent.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH 43403. U.S.A.
E-mail address: jbes@bgnet.bgsu.edu